

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/648,863	08/25/2000	Kiyonobu Kojima	SONY-U0060	5978
22850	7590 02/26/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2655	H

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/648,863	KOJIMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	<u> August 2000</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the condition.	ance except for formal matters, pr <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to the merits is 53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		minor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		Tod by the Examinor.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	i priority ariaor oo orono. g i roto	,, (=, =, (,),				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/648,863

Art Unit: 2655

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Lim et al</u> (6574599) in view of Tzirkel-Hancock (5960395).

As per claims 1,4,7 <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> teaches a communication apparatus, method, and storage medium (col. 3 line 65 – col. 4 line 5) with word generating means for recognizing a voice and generating a first word and a second word, which correspond to said voice (as recognizing an action word and a regular word -- col. 4 lines 7-17, with the data entered in the call table);

"a word collating means.....first word" as collating the words into a call table (col. 4 lines 5-25; and Fig. 7)

"a program activating means.....predetermined word" as action word used to control an action from the menu (col. 4 lines 7-12; col. 16 lines 50-55)

Application/Control Number: 09/648,863

Art Unit: 2655

"a communication destination setting means....activating means" as using the action word to specify a communication destination, for example, placing a call (col. 15 lines 23-60).

As per claims 1,4,7, <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> does not explicitly teach status detecting means and determining to issue a command based on status, however, <u>Tzirkel-Hancock</u> (5960395) teaches status checks before processing the command (col. 38 line 61 – col. 39 line 8). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of command processing to incorporate into the teachings of Lim the word processing based on status because it would provide feedback to the user as to why the command may not make sense (Tzirkel Hancock, col. 39 lines 5-10).

As per claims 2,3,5,6,8,9 <u>Lim et al (6574599)</u> in view of <u>Tzirkel-Hancock</u> (5960395) teaches the use of the command system in an email environment and associated protocols (<u>Lim et al (6574599)</u>,col. 12 lines 35-43).

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/648,863

Art Unit: 2655

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this 4. Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Any response to this action should be mailed to: 5.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT") Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (703)305-4089, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Doris To, can be reached at (703)305-4827. The facsimile phone number for this group is (703)872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4750. the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

mno 2/18/2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600